

APPENDIX B: LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL

This appendix contains a copy of the plan review tool that describes the location of the required sections of the plan per §201.6.



LOCAL MITIGATION PLAN REVIEW TOOL

The *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool* demonstrates how the Local Mitigation Plan meets the regulation in 44 CFR §201.6 and offers States and FEMA Mitigation Planners an opportunity to provide feedback to the community.

- The Regulation Checklist provides a summary of FEMA’s evaluation of whether the Plan has addressed all requirements.
- The Plan Assessment identifies the plan’s strengths as well as documents areas for future improvement.
- The Multi-jurisdiction Summary Sheet is an optional worksheet that can be used to document how each jurisdiction met the requirements of the each Element of the Plan (Planning Process; Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment; Mitigation Strategy; Plan Review, Evaluation, and Implementation; and Plan Adoption).

The FEMA Mitigation Planner must reference this *Local Mitigation Plan Review Guide* when completing the *Local Mitigation Plan Review Tool*.

Jurisdiction: Adams County, PA	Title of Plan: Adams County Hazard Mitigation Plan (2020 Update)	Date of Plan: 2020
Local Point of Contact: Warren P. Bladen		Address: 230 Greenamyer Lane Gettysburg, PA 17325
Title: Director		
Agency: Adams County Department of Emergency Services		
Phone Number: 717-334-8603		E-Mail: wpbladen@adamscounty.us

State Reviewer: Ernie Szabo	Title: State HM Planner	Date: 24 June 20
---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-------------------------

FEMA Reviewer:	Title:	Date:
Date Received in FEMA Region <i>(insert #)</i>		
Plan Not Approved		
Plan Approvable Pending Adoption		
Plan Approved		

**SECTION 1:
REGULATION CHECKLIST**

INSTRUCTIONS: The Regulation Checklist must be completed by FEMA. The purpose of the Checklist is to identify the location of relevant or applicable content in the Plan by Element/sub-element and to determine if each requirement has been ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met.’ The ‘Required Revisions’ summary at the bottom of each Element must be completed by FEMA to provide a clear explanation of the revisions that are required for plan approval. Required revisions must be explained for each plan sub-element that is ‘Not Met.’ Sub-elements should be referenced in each summary by using the appropriate numbers (A1, B3, etc.), where applicable. Requirements for each Element and sub-element are described in detail in this *Plan Review Guide* in Section 4, Regulation Checklist.

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT A. PLANNING PROCESS				
A1. Does the Plan document the planning process, including how it was prepared and who was involved in the process for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(1))	Sec. 3.0, pp. 41-50; see Table 3.5-1, p. 50, for municipal participation; App. C; see also PRT in App. B	X		
A2. Does the Plan document an opportunity for neighboring communities, local and regional agencies involved in hazard mitigation activities, agencies that have the authority to regulate development as well as other interests to be involved in the planning process? (Requirement §201.6(b)(2))	Sec. 3.4, pp. 47-49; App. C	X		
A3. Does the Plan document how the public was involved in the planning process during the drafting stage? (Requirement §201.6(b)(1))	Sec. 3.4, pp. 47-49; App. C	X		
A4. Does the Plan describe the review and incorporation of existing plans, studies, reports, and technical information? (Requirement §201.6(b)(3))	Sec. 1.4, pp. 2-4; Sec. 5.2.6, pp. 242-247; see also App. E for list of citations used in HIRA Sec. 4.4, table 4.4.4-2 page 221	X		
A5. Is there discussion of how the community(ies) will continue public participation in the plan maintenance process? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(iii))	Sec. 7.3, p. 286	X		
A6. Is there a description of the method and schedule for keeping the plan current (monitoring, evaluating and updating the mitigation plan within a 5-year cycle)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(i))	Sec. 7.2, pp. 285-286	X		

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT A: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
A.1. Not Met: As discussed in Fiscal Brief, the MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET spreadsheet in Section 3 on page 10 of this checklist, is no longer optional and must be completely filled out. See revised Plan Review Tool in Appendix B, with the multi-jurisdiction summary sheet completed.				
A.2. Kudos: Invited and documented invitations to adjacent counties.				
A.3. Comment: Adams County did post the plan to the county website. Recommend putting the actual url for the HMP in the plan vic page 43. Plan can be viewed on the web, alone with meeting minutes, but does not encourage or facilitate public participation....."If you have any questions or comments, please contact your municipal office." Recommend a more interactive website with Blogs and places for comments and questions. URL added to p. 42 per recommendation.				
A.4. Comment. Not sure table 5.2.6-1 meets requirement for plan integration. We are looking for a narrative discussion of how issues in the HMP will modify what is written into comprehensive and other plans and vice versa. However, section 4.4 Hazard Summary, discusses plan integration as expected.				
ELEMENT B. HAZARD IDENTIFICATION AND RISK ASSESSMENT				
B1. Does the Plan include a description of the type, location, and extent of all natural hazards that can affect each jurisdiction(s)? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Sec. 4.2.2, pp. 53-55 (for list); Sec. 4.3, pp. 55-206 (for profiles)	X		
B2. Does the Plan include information on previous occurrences of hazard events and on the probability of future hazard events for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(i))	Sec. 4.3, pp. 55-206 (see "Past Occurrences," "Future Occurrences," and "Vulnerability Assessment" subsections of each profile)	X		
B3. Is there a description of each identified hazard's impact on the community as well as an overall summary of the community's vulnerability for each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Sec. 4.3, pp. 55-206 (each profile describes impacts, see "Location & Extent," "Range & Magnitude," and "Vulnerability Assessment" subsections of each profile)	X		
B4. Does the Plan address NFIP insured structures within the jurisdiction that have been repetitively damaged by floods? (Requirement §201.6(c)(2)(ii))	Sec. 4.3.4.1, pp. 89-92	X		

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT B: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
B.1. Comment. Like the chart at beginning of each hazard showing hazard rank, occurrence, warning, etc.				
				
B.1. Question. Some of the charts seem dated for a 2020 update. For example; Figure 4.3.1.1-1drought potential in Adams County dates from 2005. Figure 4.3.3.3-2 PA Heat Related Hospitalizations dates from 2014. Is their more recent data available? We verified the latest available dates for historical occurrences via the NCEI storm events database; there were minimal changes. Other updates were as follows.				
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2018/2019 vaccination rates added (in place of 2014/2015 data – Section 4.3.9) • 2019 wildfires in PA DNR District 1 (Section 4.3.12) • 2018 car accidents added (Section 4.3.18) 				
ELEMENT C. MITIGATION STRATEGY				
C1. Does the plan document each jurisdiction’s existing authorities, policies, programs and resources and its ability to expand on and improve these existing policies and programs? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3))	Sec. 5.2, pp. 237-242; Table 5.2.6-1, pp. 243-245	X		
C2. Does the Plan address each jurisdiction’s participation in the NFIP and continued compliance with NFIP requirements, as appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Sec. 5.2, pp. 237-238, see Table 5.2-1; Sec. 4.3.4.1, pp. 89-92; Sec. 4.4.3, <i>Flood, Flash Flood, Ice Jam</i> subsection, pp. 211-213	X		
C3. Does the Plan include goals to reduce/avoid long-term vulnerabilities to the identified hazards? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(i))	Sec. 6.2; p. 259; see Table 6.1-1 for changes to goals & objectives, pp. 249-250	X		
C4. Does the Plan identify and analyze a comprehensive range of specific mitigation actions and projects for each jurisdiction being considered to reduce the effects of hazards, with emphasis on new and existing buildings and infrastructure? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(ii))	Sec. 6.3, pp. 259-262; see Table 6.1-2 for status updates to actions, pp. 252-258	X		
C5. Does the Plan contain an action plan that describes how the actions identified will be prioritized (including cost benefit review), implemented, and administered by each jurisdiction? (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iv)); (Requirement §201.6(c)(3)(iii))	Sec. 6.4, pp. 262-283 (see Tables 6.4-2 and 6.4-3 and the surrounding narrative for prioritization explanation, pp. 265-271)	X		
C6. Does the Plan describe a process by which local governments will integrate the requirements of the mitigation plan into other planning mechanisms, such as comprehensive or capital improvement plans, when appropriate? (Requirement §201.6(c)(4)(ii))	Sec. 5.2.6, pp. 242-246	X		

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST		Location in Plan (section and/or page number)	Met	Not Met
Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)				
ELEMENT C: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
C.4. The plan lists Nuclear Incident as its highest rank hazard (p. 208), yet there are no mitigation actions to specifically address nuclear incidents? Clarification added to p. 195 in Section 4.3.16 to account for decommissioning of Three Mile Island and the location of Peach Bottom.				
ELEMENT D. PLAN REVIEW, EVALUATION, AND IMPLEMENTATION (applicable to plan updates only)				
D1. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in development? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Sec. 4.4.4, pp. 218-235	X		
D2. Was the plan revised to reflect progress in local mitigation efforts? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Sec. 6.1, pp. 248-; see Table 6.1-1 for changes to goals & objectives, pp. 249-250; see Table 6.1-2 for status of mitigation actions, pp. 252-258; see Table 7.1-1 for general plan maintenance efforts, p. 285	X		
D3. Was the plan revised to reflect changes in priorities? (Requirement §201.6(d)(3))	Sec. 3.1, pp. 41-43; Sec. 4.1, pp. 51-52; Sec. 5.1, pp. 236-237; Sec. 6.1, pp. 248-258; Sec. 7.1, pp. 284-285	X		
ELEMENT D: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
ELEMENT E. PLAN ADOPTION				
E1. Does the Plan include documentation that the plan has been formally adopted by the governing body of the jurisdiction requesting approval? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	TBD			
E2. For multi-jurisdictional plans, has each jurisdiction requesting approval of the plan documented formal plan adoption? (Requirement §201.6(c)(5))	TBD			
ELEMENT E: REQUIRED REVISIONS				
ELEMENT F. ADDITIONAL STATE REQUIREMENTS (OPTIONAL FOR STATE REVIEWERS ONLY; NOT TO BE COMPLETED BY FEMA)				
F1.				
F2.				

1. REGULATION CHECKLIST

Regulation (44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans)

Location in Plan
(section and/or
page number)Met Not
Met Met**ELEMENT F: REQUIRED REVISIONS**

SECTION 2: PLAN ASSESSMENT

INSTRUCTIONS: The purpose of the Plan Assessment is to offer the local community more comprehensive feedback to the community on the quality and utility of the plan in a narrative format. The audience for the Plan Assessment is not only the plan developer/local community planner, but also elected officials, local departments and agencies, and others involved in implementing the Local Mitigation Plan. The Plan Assessment must be completed by FEMA. The Assessment is an opportunity for FEMA to provide feedback and information to the community on: 1) suggested improvements to the Plan; 2) specific sections in the Plan where the community has gone above and beyond minimum requirements; 3) recommendations for plan implementation; and 4) ongoing partnership(s) and information on other FEMA programs, specifically RiskMAP and Hazard Mitigation Assistance programs. The Plan Assessment is divided into two sections:

1. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement
2. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement is organized according to the plan Elements listed in the Regulation Checklist. Each Element includes a series of italicized bulleted items that are suggested topics for consideration while evaluating plans, but it is not intended to be a comprehensive list. FEMA Mitigation Planners are not required to answer each bullet item, and should use them as a guide to paraphrase their own written assessment (2-3 sentences) of each Element.

The Plan Assessment must not reiterate the required revisions from the Regulation Checklist or be regulatory in nature, and should be open-ended and to provide the community with suggestions for improvements or recommended revisions. The recommended revisions are suggestions for improvement and are not required to be made for the Plan to meet Federal regulatory requirements. The italicized text should be deleted once FEMA has added comments regarding strengths of the plan and potential improvements for future plan revisions. It is recommended that the Plan Assessment be a short synopsis of the overall strengths and weaknesses of the Plan (no longer than two pages), rather than a complete recap section by section.

Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan provides a place for FEMA to offer information, data sources and general suggestions on the overall plan implementation and maintenance process. Information on other possible sources of assistance including, but not limited to, existing publications, grant funding or training opportunities, can be provided. States may add state and local resources, if available.

A. Plan Strengths and Opportunities for Improvement

This section provides a discussion of the strengths of the plan document and identifies areas where these could be improved beyond minimum requirements.

Element A: Planning Process

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the planning process with respect to:

- *Involvement of stakeholders (elected officials/decision makers, plan implementers, business owners, academic institutions, utility companies, water/sanitation districts, etc.);*
- *Involvement of Planning, Emergency Management, Public Works Departments or other planning agencies (i.e., regional planning councils);*
- *Diverse methods of participation (meetings, surveys, online, etc.); and*
- *Reflective of an open and inclusive public involvement process.*

Element B: Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment

In addition to the requirements listed in the Regulation Checklist, 44 CFR 201.6 Local Mitigation Plans identifies additional elements that should be included as part of a plan's risk assessment. The plan should describe vulnerability in terms of:

- 1) *A general description of land uses and future development trends within the community so that mitigation options can be considered in future land use decisions;*
- 2) *The types and numbers of existing and future buildings, infrastructure, and critical facilities located in the identified hazard areas; and*
- 3) *A description of potential dollar losses to vulnerable structures, and a description of the methodology used to prepare the estimate.*

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment with respect to:

- *Use of best available data (flood maps, HAZUS, flood studies) to describe significant hazards;*
- *Communication of risk on people, property, and infrastructure to the public (through tables, charts, maps, photos, etc.);*
- *Incorporation of techniques and methodologies to estimate dollar losses to vulnerable structures;*
- *Incorporation of Risk MAP products (i.e., depth grids, Flood Risk Report, Changes Since Last FIRM, Areas of Mitigation Interest, etc.); and*
- *Identification of any data gaps that can be filled as new data became available.*

Element C: Mitigation Strategy

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the Mitigation Strategy with respect to:

- *Key problems identified in, and linkages to, the vulnerability assessment;*
- *Serving as a blueprint for reducing potential losses identified in the Hazard Identification and Risk Assessment;*
- *Plan content flow from the risk assessment (problem identification) to goal setting to mitigation action development;*
- *An understanding of mitigation principles (diversity of actions that include structural projects, preventative measures, outreach activities, property protection measures, post-disaster actions, etc);*
- *Specific mitigation actions for each participating jurisdictions that reflects their unique risks and capabilities;*
- *Integration of mitigation actions with existing local authorities, policies, programs, and resources; and*
- *Discussion of existing programs (including the NFIP), plans, and policies that could be used to implement mitigation, as well as document past projects.*

Element D: Plan Update, Evaluation, and Implementation (Plan Updates Only)

How does the Plan go above and beyond minimum requirements to document the 5-year Evaluation and Implementation measures with respect to:

- *Status of previously recommended mitigation actions;*
- *Identification of barriers or obstacles to successful implementation or completion of mitigation actions, along with possible solutions for overcoming risk;*
- *Documentation of annual reviews and committee involvement;*
- *Identification of a lead person to take ownership of, and champion the Plan;*
- *Reducing risks from natural hazards and serving as a guide for decisions makers as they commit resources to reducing the effects of natural hazards;*
- *An approach to evaluating future conditions (i.e. socio-economic, environmental, demographic, change in built environment etc.);*
- *Discussion of how changing conditions and opportunities could impact community resilience in the long term; and*
- *Discussion of how the mitigation goals and actions support the long-term community vision for increased resilience.*

B. Resources for Implementing Your Approved Plan

Ideas may be offered on moving the mitigation plan forward and continuing the relationship with key mitigation stakeholders such as the following:

- *What FEMA assistance (funding) programs are available (for example, Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA)) to the jurisdiction(s) to assist with implementing the mitigation actions?*
- *What other Federal programs (National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), Community Rating System (CRS), Risk MAP, etc.) may provide assistance for mitigation activities?*
- *What publications, technical guidance or other resources are available to the jurisdiction(s) relevant to the identified mitigation actions?*
- *Are there upcoming trainings/workshops (Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA), HMA, etc.) to assist the jurisdictions(s)?*
- *What mitigation actions can be funded by other Federal agencies (for example, U.S. Forest Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Smart Growth, Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Sustainable Communities, etc.) and/or state and local agencies?*

**SECTION 3:
MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET (OPTIONAL)**

INSTRUCTIONS: For multi-jurisdictional plans, a Multi-jurisdiction Summary Spreadsheet may be completed by listing each participating jurisdiction, which required Elements for each jurisdiction were ‘Met’ or ‘Not Met,’ and when the adoption resolutions were received. This Summary Sheet does not imply that a mini-plan be developed for each jurisdiction; it should be used as an optional worksheet to ensure that each jurisdiction participating in the Plan has been documented and has met the requirements for those Elements (A through E).

Requirements Met (Y/N) -- A = Planning Process; B = Hazard Identification & Risk Assessment; C = Mitigation Strategy; D = Plan Review, Evaluation & Implementation; E = Plan Adoption; F = State Requirements

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET										
#	Jurisdiction Name Jurisdiction Type Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
					A.	B.	C.	D.	E.	F.
1	Abbottstown Borough David W. Bolton	241 High Street Abbottstown, Pa 17301	abbottstown@comcast.net	717-259-0965	Y	Y	Y	Y		
2	Arendtsville Borough Jay A. Johnson	1 Chestnut Street, P.O. Box 508 Arendtsville, Pa 17303	officemgr@arendtsville.org	717-677-6009	Y	Y	Y	Y		
3	Bendersville Borough Ricky E. Kime	125 B Rampike Hill Rd, P.O. Box 448 Bendersville, Pa 17306	secretary@bendersvilleborough.net	717-677-8112	Y	Y	Y	Y		
4	Berwick Township Billy Scott	85 Municipal Road Hanover, Pa 17331	office@berwicktp.org	717-465-0614	Y	Y	Y	Y		
5	Biglerville Borough Sandra Vazquez	33 Musselman Avenue Biglerville, Pa 17307	biglerville@comcast.net	717-677-9488	Y	Y	Y	Y		
6	Bonneauville Borough Michael Shanebrook	46 E. Hanover Street Gettysburg, Pa 17325	bonnborooffice@embarqmail.com	717-334-2662	Y	Y	Y	Y		
7	Butler Township Danielle Helwig	2379 Table Rock Road, P.O. Box 339 Biglerville, Pa 17307	butlertwp@comcast.net	717-677-6712	Y	Y	Y	Y		

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name Jurisdiction Type Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
					A.	B.	C.	D.	E.	F.
8	Carroll Valley Borough David A. Hazlett	5685 Fairfield Road Fairfield, Pa 17320	manager@carrollvalley.org	717-642-8269	Y	Y	Y	Y		
9	Conewago Township Barbara Krebs	541 Oxford Avenue Hanover, Pa 17331	bkrebs@conewagotwp.org	717-637-0411	Y	Y	Y	Y		
10	Cumberland Township David Sanders	1370 Fairfield Road Gettysburg, Pa 17325	dave@ivancdutterer.com	717-334-9724	Y	Y	Y	Y		
11	East Berlin Borough Christopher Warner	128 Water Street East Berlin, Pa 17316	eastberlinboro@comcast.net	717-259-9224	Y	Y	Y	Y		
12	Fairfield Borough Susan E. Wagle	108 W. Main St., P.O. Box 263 Fairfield, Pa 17320	borofairfieldpa@comcast.net	717-642-5640	Y	Y	Y	Y		
13	Franklin Township Susan Plank	55 Scott School Road, P.O. Box 309 Cashtown, Pa 17310	info@franklintwp.us	717-334-4901	Y	Y	Y	Y		
14	Freedom Township Zach Gulden	2184 Pumping Station Road Fairfield, Pa 17320	zgulden@freedomtwp.org	717-873-0475	Y	Y	Y	Y		
15	Germany Township Susan Hansen	136 Ulricktown Road Littlestown, Pa 17340	germanytwp@comcast.net	717-359-7537	Y	Y	Y	Y		
16	Gettysburg Borough Dave Sanders	59 E. High Street Gettysburg, Pa 17325	dave@ivancdutterer.com	717-752-6115	Y	Y	Y	Y		
17	Hamilton Township Timothy D. Beard	272 Mummerts Church Road Abbottstown, Pa 17301	saehamilton@twphamilton.com	717-259-7237	Y	Y	Y	Y		
18	Hamiltonban Township Robert Gordon	23 Carrolls Tract Road, P.O. Box 526 Fairfield, Pa 17320	hamiltonban@embarqmail.com	717-642-8509	Y	Y	Y	Y		
19	Highland Township Mary Sherman	3641 Fairfield Road Gettysburg, Pa 17325	highlandtownship@comcast.net	717-642-8410	Y	Y	Y	Y		
20	Huntington Township Patricia V. Davis	70 Trolley Road, P.O. Box 247 York Springs, Pa 17372	huntington@pa.net	717-528-4027	Y	Y	Y	Y		
21	Latimore Township Dan Worley	559 Old US Route 15 York Springs, Pa 17372	latimore@latimore.org	717-528-4614	Y	Y	Y	Y		

MULTI-JURISDICTION SUMMARY SHEET

#	Jurisdiction Name Jurisdiction Type Plan POC	Mailing Address	Email	Phone	Requirements Met (Y/N)					
					A.	B.	C.	D.	E.	F.
22	Liberty Township Walter Barlow	39 Topper Road Fairfield, Pa 17320	Twp.secretary@comcast.net	717-642-3780	Y	Y	Y	Y		
23	Littlestown Borough Charles G. Kellar	41 S. Columbus Avenue Littlestown, Pa 17340	ckellar@littlestownboro.org	717-359-5101	Y	Y	Y	Y		
24	McSherrystown Borough Scott J. Cook	338 Main Street McSherrystown, Pa 17344	mcstownsUPER@comcast.net	717-637-1838	Y	Y	Y	Y		
25	Menallen Township Kenneth C. Wolf	170 Memory Lane Aspers, Pa 17304	menallentwp@centurylink.net	717-677-6635	Y	Y	Y	Y		
26	Mt. Joy Township Sheri Moyer	902 Hoffman Home Road Gettysburg, Pa 17325	smoyer@mtjoytp.us	717-359-4500 Ext. 307	Y	Y	Y	Y		
27	Mt. Pleasant Township Diane L Groft	1035 Beck Road Gettysburg, Pa 17325	mptsecretary@comcast.net	717-624-8049	Y	Y	Y	Y		
28	New Oxford Borough Dorothy M. Robinson	124 North Peters Street New Oxford, Pa 17350	borooFnewoxford@comcast.net	717-624-2188	Y	Y	Y	Y		
29	Oxford Township Verna Feeser	557 Poplar Road New Oxford, Pa 17350	oxftwp@comcast.net	717-624-2259	Y	Y	Y	Y		
30	Reading Township Kimberly Beard	50 Church Road East Berlin, Pa 17316	readingtownship@comcast.net	717-624-4222 Ext. 4	Y	Y	Y	Y		
31	Straban Township David Clapsaddle	1745 Granite Station Road Gettysburg, Pa 17325	zoning@strabantownship.com	717-334-4388	Y	Y	Y	Y		
32	Tyrone Township Russell Raub	5280 Old Harrisburg Road York Springs, Pa 17372	Tyrone.township@comcast.net	717-528-4012	Y	Y	Y	Y		
33	Union Township Carol J. Bollinger	255 Pine Grove Road Hanover, Pa 17331	uniontownship@pa.net	717-359-7811	Y	Y	Y	Y		
34	York Springs Borough Catherine J. Jonet	311 Main Street York Springs, Pa 17372	boroughofyorksprings@comcast.net	717-528-4032	Y	Y	Y	Y		

